

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 PAMELA STICKLER,

Case No.: 3:20-cv-00215-WGC

4 Plaintiff

Order

5 v.

Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1

6 SOCIAL SECURITY,


7 Defendant

8
9 Plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) (ECF No. 1) and pro se
10 complaint seeking review of a social security disability or supplemental security income decision
11 (ECF No. 1-1). The docket reflects that when Plaintiff filed her IFP application and complaint
12 she was a resident of San Diego, California, and then filed a notice of change of address to El
13 Cajon, California. (ECF No. 4.)

14 An action seeking review of a decision of the Social Security Administration should be
15 filed in the judicial district where you live or where you have your principal place of business. 42
16 U.S.C. § 405(g). It appears that this IFP application and complaint should be filed in the United
17 States District Court for the Southern District of California. Therefore, Plaintiff's IFP application
18 (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and the complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is
19 **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, so that Plaintiff may file the action in the correct
20 court. This action shall be administratively closed.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: August 19, 2020

23


William G. Cobb
United States Magistrate Judge